#### **Description:**

The administrative services section provides support and oversight functions for the Department of Juvenile Corrections. Services provided include state and federal grants administration, program analysis and evaluation, computer systems management, fiscal management, facility/fleet management, and human resources. All department actions are in support of the Balanced Approach to juvenile corrections.

#### Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. Determine the effectiveness of the juvenile offender programs.
  - A. Baseline recidivism rates for institutional and other specific programs (currently being determined).

Actual Results					
1997 1998 1999 2000					
To be determined	40	40	39		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
38	38	38	38		

B. Escapes per year for institutional programs. JCC-E/JCC-W/JMC

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
2/2/0	1/0/0	0/3/0	0/0/0			
	Projected	l Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
1/1/0	1/1/0	1/1/0	1/1/0			

C. Escapes per year for private provider programs.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
165	91	48	23		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
16	8	4	4		

- 2. To develop and implement a statewide juvenile offender tracking system. This project has been delayed. IJOS will begin implementation Fall 1998.
  - A. Number of DJC and county juveniles currently entered into the IJOS system.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
N/A	N/A	4,196	18,463
	Projected	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
30,246	45,600	45,600	45,600

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

The Administrative Division of the Department of Juvenile Corrections was created during the 1995 legislative session. The Balanced Approach promotes three areas of juvenile justice: community protection, offender accountability, and competency development. The following strategies have been identified as major goals of the department: to build a statewide computer network in conjunction with the Department of Administration which will link juvenile justice professionals across the State; to measure the effectiveness of residential programs; to provide funding opportunities for local communities through distribution of state and federal grant programs; to promote a wider variety and availability of effective juvenile programs; to improve system-wide communications; and to promote county level prevention and early intervention programs. It is the responsibility of the Administrative Division to ensure that the department goals are accomplished.

For more information contact Tony Meatte at 334-5100 ext. 110.

# Juvenile Corrections, Department of Community Services

### **Description:**

The Field Services division encompasses the functions of the District Liaisons. Statewide education, quality assurance, Detention/Probation training, detention monitoring and research. The Community Services Division provides coalition building expertise, coordinates between county juvenile justice entities, and acts as a resource for developing juvenile programs. District Liaison personnel have recently taken responsibility for assisting counties with block grant and Tobacco tax reporting. This Division also coordinates and promotes quality educational and program services to juveniles.

#### Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. To facilitate a statewide juvenile justice system characterized by effective communication and cooperation between the DJC and Idaho's communities.
  - A. Average number of meetings attended with county and coalition personnel per district liaison.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
168 est.	185	216	206		
	Projected	l Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
206	206	206	206		

- 2. Provide assistance to counties in developing, maintaining, and refining juvenile programs.
  - A. The average number of publications provided to county personnel.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
168 est.	282	1,416	2,669
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
2,669	2,669	2,669	2,669

B. The number of counties with Tobacco tax reports complete and up to date.

	Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000		
4	44	44	44		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
44	44	44	44		

- 3. Assist counties in strengthening their ability to manage high-risk juvenile offenders within the community.
  - A. Number of counties with Block grant reports complete and up-to-date.

Actual Results						
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
N/A	26	44	44			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
44	44	44	44			

B. Number of counties with Tobacco Tax reports complete and up-to-date.

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
N/A	44	44	44
	Projecte	d Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
44	44	44	44

- 4. To assist in providing quality private provider programs.
  - A. Percent of programs reviewed.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
98%	12%	42%	76%		
	Projected	d Results			
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004				
100%	100%	100%	100%		

B. Number of youth served by private providers per year. \*May contain duplicates is juveniles are served by multiple providers.

	Actual Results					
1997	1997 1998 1999 2000					
N/A	688	848*	806			
	Projected	d Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
806	806	806	806			

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

Because of the coalition building and coordination nature of the Field Services work, it is difficult to measure the results and effects of services provided. The District Liaisons provided assistance with the county use of Block Grant and Cigarette tax moneys, provided training in the Balanced Approach, and review private providers in their districts. Each Liaison works closely with elected officials such as legislators, probation officers, county and other elected officials, school districts, and service providers in their districts. The Goals and Objectives for this program were changed to better reflect the District Liaison's new roles.

For more information contact Paul Carroll at 334-5100 ext. 104.

## **Juvenile Corrections, Department of Institutions**

#### **Description:**

The Institutional Services Division encompasses two secure juvenile facilities: JCC-E and JCC-W, as well as the Juvenile Management Center (JMC). In addition this unit includes the construction of the new JMC unit, and the conversion of the old JMC into a secure sex offender facility. This program includes the facilities management and maintenance support for the entire department.

### Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. To improve the effectiveness of incarceration/residential programs.
  - A. Percent of youth re-evaluated yearly.

	Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000			
100%	100%	100%	100%			
	Projected	l Results				
2001	2001 2002 2003 2004					
100%	100%	100%	100%			

B. Number of escapes per year from institutions and private providers. (JCC-St. Anthony/JCC-Nampa/Private Providers.)

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
2/2/128	1/0/91	0/3/48	0/0/23			
	Projected Results					
2001	2002	2003	2004			
1/1/16	1/1/8	1/1/4	1/1/4			

- 2. Develop cost effective incarceration options.
  - A. Number of youth served by DJC, JJCC-St. Anthony, JCC-Nampa, and private providers. \*May contain duplicate if juveniles are served by multiple facilities.

Actual Results					
1997 1998 1999 2000					
904/252/57/481	800/300/88/688	821/262/78/848*	829/293/73/806		
	Projected Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004		
821/262/78/848	821/262/78/848	821/262/78/848	821/262/78/848		

B. Average length of stay for JCC-E, JCC-W, and JMC. (days)

Actual Results				
1997	1998	1999	2000	
283/178/14	322/170/14	361/149/14	376/154/19	
	Projected	d Results		
2001	2002	2003	2004	
328/150/14	328/150/14	328/150/14	328/150/14	

- 3. To provide administrative support functions to the department.
  - A. Number of new policies and procedures developed in line with the Balanced Approach philosophy.

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
7	8	8	102			
	Projected Results					
2001	2002	2003	2004			
5	5	5	5			

- 4. To assure juvenile programs are consistent and of high quality. (This program is currently being implemented so some data not available.)
  - A. Percent of available facilities visited by the Juvenile Service Coordinators (JSCs).

	Actual	Results	
1997	1998	1999	2000
N/A	66%	80%	68%
	Projected	l Results	
2001	2002	2003	2004
90%	100%	100%	100%

- 5. Assist counties in strengthening their ability to manage high-risk juvenile offenders within the community.
  - A. Number of screening teams participated in by Juvenile Service Coordinators (JSCs).

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
336 est.	291	178	185		
	Projected Results				
2001	2002	2003	2004		
185	185	185	185		

## **Program Results and Effect:**

DJC is in the process of updating the institutional services available in order to better meet the needs of the incarcerated youth and to fulfill legislative intent to implement the Balanced Approach. In addition, the cap on the number of youth the courts can commit to DJC was lifted, so DJC has had to increase the number of private programs available to meet the increased need for bed space. Strategies to accomplish these goals include: developing a wide array of effective placement options; creating "step down" transition to the community; regular monitoring of youth to assure progress in selected programming, or to allow for change to a more suitable program; improving the cost-effectiveness of current placements.

For more information contact Dawn Burns at 334-5100 ext. 109.

## Juvenile Corrections, Department of Juvenile Justice Commission

#### **Description:**

The Commission was established by Executive Order 95-09 to administer funds received through the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) Act of 1974 as amended. The goals of this program are to develop comprehensive services at a local level, provide professional education and training opportunities for juvenile justice personnel, coordinate communities efforts through a district-wide approach, and to work with the Reservations to improve services with native American youth.

### Major Functions and Targeted Performance Standard(s) for Each Function:

- 1. Reduce the rate of juveniles committed to the department through promotion of prevention and early intervention programs.
  - A. Number of federal grant proposals received. (Applications)

Actual Results						
1997	1998	1999	2000			
60	68	103	188			
'	Projected Results					
2001	2002	2003	2004			
190	190	190	190			

B. Number of federal grants awarded.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
30	33	84	155		
	Projecte	d Results			
2001	2002	2003	2004		
160	160	160	160		

C. Number of technical assistance requests received.

Actual Results					
1997	1998	1999	2000		
12	32	75	50		
Projected Results					
2001	2002	2003	2004		
50	50	50	50		

#### **Program Results and Effect:**

Since the inception of this program the juvenile justice commission has received over \$10 million of JJDP Act funds. All grant awarded projects must use performance indicators to measure their success in all three components of the Balanced Approach. Federal grants distributed this year have funded resource and referral programs, comprehensive parent education, recreation and tutoring programs for at-risk youth, early intervention programs, foster care coordinators, and electronic monitoring programs, training projects, a status offender project, and projects addressing the specific needs of female offenders and minority youth.

For more information contact Sharon Harrigfeld at 334-5100 ext. 111.